

RESEARCH PAPER

Molecular Oxygen Abundance in Galactic Massive Star Formation Regions Based on SWAS Observations

To cite this article: Bing-Ru Wang *et al* 2024 *Res. Astron. Astrophys.* **24** 095007

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [The Submillimeter Wave Astronomy Satellite: Science Objectives and Instrument Description](#)
Gary J. Melnick, John R. Stauffer, Matthew L. N. Ashby et al.
- [The Abundance and Emission of H₂O and O₂ in Clumpy Molecular Clouds](#)
Marco Spaans and Ewine F. van Dishoeck
- [Tentative Detection of Molecular Oxygen in the Ophiuchi Cloud](#)
P. F. Goldsmith, D. Li, E. A. Bergin et al.



Molecular Oxygen Abundance in Galactic Massive Star Formation Regions Based on SWAS Observations

Bing-Ru Wang^{1,3} , Di Li^{2,1,4,12} , Paul F. Goldsmith⁵ , Jingwen Wu^{3,1,12} , Chao-Wei Tsai^{1,6} , Donghui Quan⁴ , Xia Zhang⁷ , Junzhi Wang⁸ , Gary J. Melnick⁹ , Jin-Zeng Li¹ , Gary A. Fuller^{10,11} , and Jinjin Xie¹

¹ National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China; dili@nao.cas.cn, jingwen@nao.cas.cn

² Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

³ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

⁴ Research Center for Astronomical Computing, Zhejiang Laboratory, Hangzhou 311100, China

⁵ Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA

⁶ Institute for Frontiers in Astronomy and Astrophysics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 102206, China

⁷ Xinjiang Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi 830011, China

⁸ Guangxi Key Laboratory for Relativistic Astrophysics, School of Physical Science and Technology, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China

⁹ Center for Astrophysics, Harvard & Smithsonian, 60 Garden Street, MS 66, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

¹⁰ Jodrell Bank Center for Astrophysics, Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

¹¹ I. Physikalisches Institut, University of Cologne, Zùlpicher Str. 77, 50937 Köln, Germany

Received 2024 May 13; revised 2024 May 25; accepted 2024 May 29; published 2024 September 13

Abstract

Molecular oxygen abundance is a key parameter in understanding the chemical network of the interstellar medium. We estimate the molecular oxygen column density and abundance for a sample of Galactic massive star formation regions based on observations from the Submillimeter Wave Astronomy Satellite (SWAS) survey. We obtained an averaged O₂ spectrum based on this sample using the (SWAS) survey data (O₂, 487.249 GHz, $N = 3-1$, $J = 3-2$). No emission or absorption feature is seen around the supposed central velocity with a total integration time of $t_{\text{total}} = 8.67 \times 10^3$ hr and an rms noise per channel of 1.45 mK. Assuming a kinetic temperature $T_{\text{kin}} = 30$ K, we derive the 3σ upper limit of the O₂ column density to be $3.3 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, close to the lowest values reported in Galactic massive star formation regions in previous studies. The corresponding O₂ abundance upper limit is 6.7×10^{-8} , lower than all previous results based on SWAS observations and is close to the lowest reported value in massive star formation regions. On a galactic scale, our statistical results confirm a generally low O₂ abundance for Galactic massive star formation regions. This abundance is also lower than results reported in extragalactic sources.

Key words: ISM: molecules – galaxies: abundances – ISM: lines and bands – Galaxy: abundances

1. Introduction

In the interstellar medium (ISM), the most abundant species, such as H, H₂, O, C⁺, and N in diffuse clouds and H₂, CO, and N₂ in molecular clouds (Tielens 2013, with He not included), are composed of the most abundant elements and often play important roles in both the chemical and physical evolution of the ISM. In turn, the resulting chemical composition affects the energy transfer processes in the ISM. The formation and evolution of these species are highly dependent on the (kinetic) temperature, volume density and radiation field in the ISM. Star formation is an important process in the ISM evolution, with the feedback from the forming and formed stars often setting these parameters and, thus, influencing the most abundant molecular species in molecular clouds other than H₂/H and He. Oxygen is the third most abundant element in the universe (Heiles 1971; Dalgarno & McCray 1972). O-bearing species

are among the most abundant ones in both the diffuse clouds and the molecular clouds where the stars form. Thus, it is necessary to study the existing forms of oxygen in ISM and their abundance.

In diffuse gas subject to a strong interstellar far-ultraviolet (FUV) radiation field, oxygen and carbon atoms are predominantly ionized (Yamamoto 2017). With an ionization potential greater than that of hydrogen, in dense molecular gas where the FUV field is attenuated by dust absorption, elemental oxygen can exist within simple molecular species whose abundance and distribution are determined by gas-phase densities and temperatures, or by freezeout and surface chemistry on interstellar dust grains (Hollenbach et al. 2009; van Dishoeck et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2020). Early theoretical calculations suggested that within well-shielded clouds, molecular oxygen (O₂), along with gas-phase H₂O, could be abundant reservoirs of elemental oxygen (Herbst & Klemperer 1973; Langer 1976) as well as major gas coolants

¹² Corresponding author.

(Goldsmith & Langer 1978). Because transitions between low-lying O_2 energy levels can be easily excited collisionally by H_2 at typical dense molecular cloud temperatures, molecular oxygen was once predicted to be comparable to CO and H_2O as a dominate molecular cloud gas coolant (Goldsmith & Langer 1978; Neufeld et al. 1995). Though no longer thought to be a major gas coolant, the abundance of molecular oxygen remains a largely unanswered question, with relevance to our understanding of interstellar chemistry.

Attempts to observe Galactic sources of O_2 from the best mountaintop or airborne telescopes are prevented by the significant presence of O_2 in our own atmosphere. To avoid this obstacle, efforts have been made to observe highly redshifted O_2 from extragalactic sources (Goldsmith & Young 1989; Combes et al. 1991, 1997; Wang et al. 2020) as well as the isotopologue $^{16}O^{18}O$ toward Galactic sources (Goldsmith et al. 1985; Taquet et al. 2018). However, the best prospects for detecting O_2 remain a space-based telescope, well above the blocking effects of Earth's atmosphere. Observations with the Submillimeter Wave Astronomy Satellite (SWAS, Melnick et al. 2000), the Odin satellite (Frisk et al. 2003; Nordh et al. 2003), and the Herschel Space Observatory (Pilbratt et al. 2010) have confirmed a much lower O_2 abundance (upper limit) in Galactic dense gas (generally from 5×10^{-8} to 10^{-6}), more than 2 orders of magnitudes below the earlier predictions of cold-cloud gas-phase chemical models (Goldsmith et al. 2000, 2011; Pagani et al. 2003; Bergin & Melnick 2005; Larsson et al. 2007; Sandqvist et al. 2008, 2015; Liseau et al. 2012; Yildiz et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2014; Wirström et al. 2016). The only two Galactic O_2 detections in Orion (Goldsmith et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2014) and Rho Ophiuchi A (Rho Oph A, with a tentative detection included) (Goldsmith et al. 2002; Larsson et al. 2007; Liseau et al. 2012) are both local warm spots which are likely heated by shocks, resulting in enhanced O_2 emission due to the release of O_2 from grain surfaces (Goldsmith et al. 2011; Liseau et al. 2012; Chen et al. 2014) or, alternately, from gas-phase chemistry within the postshocked regions behind FUV-illuminated shocks (Melnick & Kaufman 2015). Generally, the corresponding O_2 abundance values are less than 10^{-7} relative to H_2 . The limited postshock distances over which the O_2 abundance is enhanced combined with geometric effects—i.e., the angle the shock presents to the observer—may be reasons confirmed O_2 detections are rare (Melnick & Kaufman 2015). Similar shock enhancement mechanisms may also apply to extragalactic sources, such as the ultra-luminous infrared galaxy Mrk 231, which led to a detection with an inferred O_2 abundance greater than 1×10^{-4} (Wang et al. 2020). Within the central region of Mrk 231 and other observed extragalactic sources such as the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC), NGC 6240 and B0218+357, the O_2 abundance remains very low, comparable to the Galactic sources (Wang et al. 2020; Wilson et al. 2005; Combes et al. 1991, 1997).

The O_2 abundance is commonly very low in dense molecular gas and the significant surplus of oxygen in solar abundance (the interstellar “O crisis,” Whittet 2010a) remains. Obtaining improved constraints on the upper limit to the O_2 abundance from the non-detections toward Galactic massive star formation regions provides critical data for time-dependent gas-grain interstellar chemistry models (Zhang et al. 2020) and can help us gain a better understanding of the oxygen life-cycle in the Galaxy (Vastel et al. 2002; Whittet et al. 2010; Whittet 2010b).

In this paper, we estimate the average molecular oxygen upper limit based on a large sample of Galactic massive star formation regions using the SWAS survey data. The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we briefly describe the SWAS survey observations and data; in Section 3 we describe our data reduction steps; The results are presented in Section 4. We compare our results with previous studies in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6 we present our conclusions.

2. SWAS Observation

The SWAS mission lasted for 5.5 yr and the survey covers hundreds of Galactic molecular clouds (Bergin & Melnick 2005). The sources are observed at single or multiple positions via intermittent sampling. For every observed position, four molecular lines were observed simultaneously by two double sideband receivers (DSBs), Receiver 1 (CI, $^3P_1-^3P_0$, 492.161 GHz; O_2 , 3,3–1,2, 487.249 GHz) and Receiver 2 (H_2O , $1_{10}-1_{01}$, 556.936 GHz; ^{13}CO ($J=5-4$, 550.926 GHz¹³), respectively (Melnick et al. 2000). The SWAS's beam size is 3.5×5.0 for Receiver 1 and 3.3×4.5 for Receiver 2 and the main beam efficiency is 0.90 (Melnick et al. 2000). We obtained the data of all 386 sources from the SWAS spectrum service in NASA/IPAC infrared science archive.¹⁴

3. Data Reduction

We assumed that for every observed position in the SWAS survey, the CI line ($^3P_1-^3P_0$, 492.161 GHz) and O_2 line (3,3–1,2, 487.249 GHz) sampled simultaneously by Receiver 1 have the same central velocities. Previous Galactic O_2 detections are consistent with this assumption (see Appendix A). This assumption that CI and O_2 share the same central velocity is consistent with the CI and O_2 line observation results of the only two previous Galactic O_2 detection cases in the Rho Oph A (Larsson et al. 2007; Liseau et al. 2012) and Orion (Goldsmith et al. 2011) molecular clouds (see Appendix A for more details).

We analyzed the CI and O_2 spectra of the observed massive star formation regions in the SWAS survey, but excluded the

¹³ For a few sources, observations of $H_2^{18}O$ ($1_{10}-1_{01}$, 547.676 GHz) were also performed toward some positions instead.

¹⁴ <http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/applications/SWAS/SWAS/list.html>

sources in the Orion molecular cloud¹⁵ and near/toward the Galactic center.¹⁶ We selected positions whose C I spectra have only one clear single emission peak to prepare our sample for statistical analysis. To lower the influence by the weighting differences among spectra due to different integration times, we only selected positions with long enough total integration time (not less than 10,000 s, on+off, see Appendix D) and used on the corresponding O₂ and C I spectra to compose the overall averaged O₂ and C I spectra. Subsequently, based on the overall averaged spectra, we estimate a statistical O₂ abundance upper limit.

For every observed position, all sampled C I and O₂ spectra were combined to generate the corresponding C I and O₂ average spectra, respectively. The C I average spectrum was fitted with a Gaussian and the centroid velocity was adopted as the central velocity of the corresponding O₂ average spectrum. This central velocity was aligned to 0 km s⁻¹ in our spectral plots. The antenna temperatures were then corrected for the SWAS's main beam efficiency of 0.90. Then a linear baseline was fitted based on spectra outside the -20 to 20 km s⁻¹ velocity interval, and was subtracted from the spectra. The average spectra of different positions were then combined to generate an overall O₂ average spectrum and corresponding C I average spectrum for the sample of massive star formation regions. The operations above were performed using the GILDAS CLASS software. Considering the varying integration times among different observed positions, the mode of "weighting by time"¹⁷ was adopted.

4. Results and Analysis

4.1. Overall Averaged O₂ and C I Spectra

The observed positions in the massive star formation regions analyzed in this paper are listed in Table D1 in Appendix D. The overall total integration time (on+off) is 3.12×10^7 s (8.67×10^3 hr). The long-term integration ability of SWAS receivers has been investigated and confirmed in Wang et al. (2024) and a noise floor has not been reached even after such a long total integration time.

Figure 1 shows the O₂ and C I overall averaged spectra of these observed positions, with the assumed central velocities aligned to 0 km s⁻¹. In the averaged O₂ spectra, there is no obvious emission or absorption feature around the central velocity. The rms noise per channel is 1.33×10^{-3} K, which is very close to the theoretical value 1.24×10^{-3} K. The corresponding overall averaged C I has a clear single emission peak. The central velocity is 0.010 ± 0.016 km s⁻¹ and the line width (full width at half maximum, FWHM) is 6.3 ± 0.043 km s⁻¹ according to the Gaussian fitting results.

4.2. Molecular Oxygen Column Density and Abundance Upper Limit

4.2.1. O₂'s Radiative Transitions, Collision Excitation and Thermalization

As a homonuclear molecule, O₂ has no permanent electric dipole moment and thus has no pure rotational transitions (Kaiser et al. 1999) (i.e., electric-dipole rotational transitions, Listz & Vanden Bout 1985). However, the coupling of the electron spin angular momentum of the unpaired electrons (designated as *S*, whose associated moment is a purely magnetic dipole moment, Gordy & Cook 1984) and the non-spin angular momentum (designated as *N*, see Gordy & Cook 1984) causes the splitting of *N* and thus generates the resultant total angular momentum *J* (exclusive nuclear spin, according to Gordy & Cook 1984). The transitions allowed between *J* and/or *N* levels are magnetic dipole transitions, with the selection rules being $\Delta N = 0, \pm 2$ and $\Delta J = 0, \pm 1$ (Maréchal et al. 1997; Brown & Carrington 2003).

The allowed radiative transitions between the lowest 48 energy levels all have small spontaneous emission coefficients (10^{-10} – 10^{-7} s⁻¹, Drouin et al. 2010). For lower O₂ energy levels, these slow rates make the radiative transitions between them have relatively low critical densities and thus easily thermalized. The low transition strength and low molecular oxygen abundance make the 487 GHz O₂ (3,3–1,2) transition almost certainly optically thin.

SWAS generally observed the dense molecular cloud with molecular hydrogen volume density (number density) $n_{\text{H}_2} > 10^3$ cm⁻³ (Melnick 1995). When $n_{\text{H}_2} \geq 10^3$ cm⁻³ and the kinetic temperature $T_{\text{kin}} \leq 30$ K, O₂ (3,3) levels are essentially thermalized (Goldsmith et al. 2000). Further calculations suggested that $n_{\text{H}_2} > 10^3$ cm⁻³ is enough to keep the (3,3) energy level, with the upper energy level being close to local thermal equilibrium (LTE) at a temperature of 100 K (Goldsmith et al. 2011).

For the massive star formation regions we analyzed in the SWAS survey, on average we can expect even higher H₂ volume density but not likely higher kinetic temperature. To estimate the average O₂ column density and abundance upper limits, we adopted the median values of H₂ volume density and T_{kin} of seven giant cloud cores from Table 1 in Goldsmith et al. (2002) as the assumed average values for the sample of massive star formation regions. The seven giant cloud cores are Mon R2, M17SW, W49, W51, S140, Cep A and NCG 7538, respectively.¹⁸ All of them had been studied individually based on SWAS O₂ (3,3–1,2) and Five College Radio Astronomical Observatory (FCRAO) C¹⁸O *J* = 1–0 observations in Goldsmith et al. (2000) and were also in our sample in this paper. With the H₂ volume density and T_{kin}

¹⁵ With O₂ detected in previous study (Goldsmith et al. 2011).

¹⁶ It is hard to fix the C I central velocity at these positions.

¹⁷ See www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS/doc/pdf/class.pdf.

¹⁸ For W33, DR 21 and DR 21(OH) which are massive star formation regions and were also observed in Goldsmith et al. (2000), since their SWAS C I spectra do not have a clear single peak, they were not included in our sample in this paper and their parameters were not adopted.




Figure 1. Overall averaged O₂ spectrum of observed positions in Table D1 in Appendix D (upper panel) and the corresponding overall averaged C I spectra (lower panel). The rms noise per channel of the O₂ spectrum (based on the channel values in the intervals [−50, −20] and [40, 60] km s^{−1}) is 1.33×10^{-3} K. The green solid line shows the Gaussian fitting result of the C I spectrum, with central velocity of 0.010 ± 0.016 km s^{−1} and line width of 6.3 ± 0.043 km s^{−1}.

values adopted as described above, we assumed an average $n_{\text{H}_2} = 10^{5.6} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and average $T_{\text{kin}} = 30$ K for the sample of massive star formation regions in our estimations in this paper. We adopted the line width (FWHM) of the corresponding overall averaged C I spectrum (see Figure 1) as the assumed line width of the overall averaged O₂ spectrum of this sample. With these assumed average n_{H_2} , T_{kin} and line width of the overall averaged O₂ spectrum, we performed Radex non-LTE analysis (Van der Tak et al. 2007) for O₂ total column densities of 10^{13} , 10^{14} – 10^{18} cm^{-2} . The O₂ fractional populations of the (3,3) energy level are all 0.128, the same as in LTE while the (3,3–2,1) transition is always optically thin, as expected.

4.2.2. Average O₂ Column Density Upper Limit for Galactic Massive Star Formation Regions

When O₂ (3,3) energy is thermalized, for the O₂ (3,3–2,1) transition, its excitation temperature $T_{\text{ex}} \simeq T_{\text{kin}}$. Assuming the observed molecular clouds fill the SWAS beam, when the background continuum radiation at 2.73 K is ignored, O₂ column density at energy level (3,3), the upper level of the 487 GHz O₂ transition in the quasi-Planck case (see Appendix B), is

$$N_u^{\text{quasi-Planck-no-bg}} = \frac{8\pi k\nu^2}{hc^3 A_{ul}} \int T_{\text{mb}} dv. \quad (1)$$

The O₂ total column density is

$$N_{\text{tot}}^{\text{quasi-Planck-no-bg}} = \text{CF} \cdot \frac{8\pi k\nu^2}{hc^3 A_{\text{ul}}} \int T_{\text{mb}} dv. \quad (2)$$

Here A_{ul} is the Einstein A coefficient, and CF is the correction factor, the inverse of the fractional population of a given level. For the thermalized O₂ (3,3) level in our calculation, CF = 1/0.128 = 7.81. (see Section 4.2.1).

The 3σ integrated line intensity upper limit for the overall averaged O₂ spectrum in Figure 1 is

$$\int T_{\text{mb}} dv = 3\sigma_{\text{O}_2} \sqrt{\delta\nu \Delta\nu}, \quad (3)$$

according to Pagani et al. (2003). $\sigma_{\text{O}_2} = 1.33 \times 10^{-3}$ K is the rms noise per channel of the overall averaged O₂ spectrum, $\delta\nu = 0.64$ km s⁻¹ is the velocity resolution and $\Delta\nu$ is the assumed line width, taken as 6.3 km s⁻¹ (see more in Appendix C.2), which is the line width of the corresponding overall averaged C I spectrum. With A_{ul} of O₂ (3,3–1,2) as 8.66×10^{-9} s⁻¹ (Drouin et al. 2010), the 3σ average O₂ column density upper limit is 3.3×10^{15} cm⁻².

With corrections for the O₂ rms noise per channel and then for the assumed O₂ line width, this column density upper limit at 30 K is 3.3×10^{15} cm⁻² (see Appendices C.1 and C.2). For thermalized O₂ (3,3) level at $T_{\text{kin}} = 20$ –40 K, O₂ column density upper limit is 1.0–1.05 times the abundance value at this assumed $T_{\text{kin}} = 30$ K (see Appendix C.3).

4.2.3. Averaged O₂ Column Abundance Upper Limit for Galactic Massive Star Formation Regions

We used the weighted (integrated intensity) ratio method in Goldsmith et al. (2000) to estimate a 3σ limit for the averaged O₂ abundance in Galactic massive star formation regions. In principle, it derives the fractional abundance of O₂ from the C¹⁸O fractional abundance value through the ratio of their column density $N(\text{O}_2)/N(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N(\text{O}_2)}{N(\text{H}_2)} &= \frac{N(\text{O}_2)}{N(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})} \cdot \frac{N(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})}{N(\text{H}_2)} \\ &= \frac{N(\text{O}_2)}{N(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})} \cdot X_{\text{C}^{18}\text{O}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The C¹⁸O total column density can be calculated from the corresponding C¹⁸O $J = 1$ –0 (109.782 GHz) spectrum (Goldsmith et al. 2000), also using Equation (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N(\text{O}_2)}{N(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})} &= \left[\frac{\nu^2(\text{O}_2, 3_3-1_2)}{\nu^2(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}, J = 1-0)} \frac{A_{\text{ul}}(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}, J = 1)}{A_{\text{ul}}(\text{O}_2, 3_3-1_2)} \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{\text{CF}(\text{O}_2, 3_3)}{\text{CF}(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}, J = 1)} \cdot R_T^W. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

R_T^W is the ratio of O₂ integrated line intensity and C¹⁸O integrated line intensity, weighted by the C¹⁸O main beam brightness temperature in each channel when both O₂ and C¹⁸O

spectra share the same velocity resolution. $A_{\text{ul}}(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}, J = 1)$ and $A_{\text{ul}}(\text{O}_2, 3_3-1_2)$ are the Einstein A coefficients for the C¹⁸O $J = 1$ and O₂ (3,3–1,2) transitions, respectively.

$$R_T^W = \frac{\sum_i T_{\text{mb}}(\text{O}_2, 3, 3-1, 2)_i T_{\text{mb}}(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}, 1-0)_i}{\sum_i T_{\text{mb}}(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}, 1-0)_i T_{\text{mb}}(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}, 1-0)_i}, \quad (6)$$

according to Goldsmith et al. (2000).

For the overall averaged O₂ spectra without any feature around the supposed central velocity, we derive a 3σ upper limit for the averaged O₂ abundance from the uncertainty of the weighted ratio.

The C¹⁸O abundance corresponds to the entire C¹⁸O $J = 1$ –0 integrated intensity. It also corresponds to the weighted integrated line intensity of C¹⁸O $J = 1$ –0 in Equation (6). The O₂ rms noise per channel is the rms uncertainty in one channel and the corresponding weighted rms uncertainty of O₂ line intensity in a single channel is

$$\sigma_{\text{O}_2} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_i T_{\text{mb}}^2(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}, 1-0)_i}{n}}. \quad (7)$$

σ_{O_2} is the rms noise per channel of the overall averaged O₂ spectrum (1.33×10^{-3} K) at its velocity resolution 0.64 km s⁻¹. n is the total number of the channels that were taken into account for the O₂ integrated line intensity. For random noise in independent O₂ channels, the weighted rms uncertainty of O₂ integrated line intensity is

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_{\text{O}_2} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_i T_{\text{mb}}^2(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}, 1-0)_i}{n}} \cdot \sqrt{n} \\ &= \sigma_{\text{O}_2} \sqrt{\sum_i T_{\text{mb}}^2(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}, 1-0)_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Then the weighted rms uncertainty of the ratio of O₂ and C¹⁸O integrated line intensity is

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{R_T^W} &= \frac{\sigma_{\text{O}_2} \sqrt{\sum_i T_{\text{mb}}^2(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}, 1-0)_i}}{\sum_i T_{\text{mb}}(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}, 1-0)_i T_{\text{mb}}(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}, 1-0)_i} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_{\text{O}_2}}{\sqrt{\sum_i T_{\text{mb}}^2(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}, 1-0)_i}}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The overall averaged C I has a near-Gaussian profile. Assuming a Gaussian line profile for the supposed corresponding $J = 1$ –0 C¹⁸O spectrum, we obtain

$$\sigma_{R_T^W} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{O}_2}}{\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{8 \ln 2} T_{0, \text{C}^{18}\text{O}, 1-0} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta\nu_{\text{FWHM}, \text{C}^{18}\text{O}, 1-0}}{\delta\nu_{\text{O}_2}}}}}, \quad (10)$$

with $T_{0, \text{C}^{18}\text{O}, 1-0}$ and $\Delta\nu_{\text{FWHM}, \text{C}^{18}\text{O}, 1-0}$ as the peak temperature and the line width (FWHM) of the overall averaged C¹⁸O (1–0) spectrum, respectively. $\delta\nu_{\text{O}_2}$ is the channel width of the overall averaged O₂ spectrum, the same as the velocity resolution in Equation (3). Equation (10) is the analytical expression of Equation(4) in Goldsmith et al. (2000).




Figure 2. O_2 column density and abundance upper limits (3σ) in Galactic and SMC (Wilson et al. 2005). The observed targets circled in black dashed lines are in massive star formation regions. Symbols in red, green, and blue represent results based on observations via SWAS, and the Odin and Herschel telescopes, respectively. The symbols linked with solid black lines are O_2 detected cases or tentative detections, showing the ranges. Symbols linked by a dotted black line represent results of different positions for the same object in the same study. The black arrows suggest the possible lower limit.

We adopted the median of $T_{0,\text{C}^{18}\text{O},1-0}$ values (1.1 K in 0.8–1.3 K) for the seven Galactic giant molecule cloud cores¹⁹ described in Section 4.2.1 as the assumed $T_{0,\text{C}^{18}\text{O},1-0}$ of the corresponding overall averaged C^{18}O (1–0) spectrum under the same spatial resolution as SWAS. The $\Delta v_{\text{FWHM},\text{C}^{18}\text{O}}$ values of the giant molecule cloud cores were not listed in Goldsmith et al. (2000). We adopted the line width of the overall averaged C I spectra, 6.3 km s^{-1} , as the assumed corresponding C^{18}O $J=1-0$ line width.

We performed Radex non-LTE analysis (Van der Tak et al. 2007) for C^{18}O total column densities of 10^{14} , 10^{15} – 10^{18} cm^{-2} at $T_{\text{kin}} = 30 \text{ K}$, $n_{\text{H}_2} = 10^{5.6} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ as assumed in Section 4.2.1. The C^{18}O population of the $J=1$ energy level is from 0.221 to 0.216, all being very close to the value 0.215 when it is in LTE. We adopted $\text{CF}(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}, J=1) = 4.54$ (from the results for $N(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}) = 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in Radex non-LTE analysis) in our calculation.

Instituting three times Equation (10) into Equation (5) together with $A_{\text{ul}} = 6.266 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for C^{18}O (1–0) (from the Leiden Atomic and Molecular Database²⁰) and $X_{\text{C}^{18}\text{O}} = 1.7 \times 10^{-7}$ (Frerking et al. 1982), we derived a 3σ average

O_2 abundance upper limit as 5.6×10^{-8} for Galactic massive star formation region.

With corrections for the O_2 rms noise per channel and then for the assumed C^{18}O $J=1-0$ line width, this abundance upper limit at 30 K is corrected to 6.7×10^{-8} (see Appendices C.1 and C.2). When the C^{18}O ($J=1$) and O_2 (3,3) levels are both thermalized, at $T_{\text{kin}} = 20$ –40 K, the O_2 abundance upper limit is 0.8–2.1 times this value at the assumed $T_{\text{kin}} = 30 \text{ K}$ (see Appendix C.3).

5. Discussion

With total integration time (on+off) of $3.12 \times 10^7 \text{ s}$ and rms noise per channel as $1.45 \times 10^{-3} \text{ K}$, we derived the 3σ average O_2 column density upper limit as $3.3 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and O_2 abundance upper limit as 6.7×10^{-8} for Galactic massive star formation regions based on SWAS survey data. The values are lower than the previous values based on SWAS observations toward individual sources or regions in Goldsmith et al. (2000)²¹ and Goldsmith et al. (2002).

Figure 2 shows O_2 column density and abundance or their 3σ upper limits in previous studies, together with the values derived in this paper. The results are for Galactic sources and SMC (Wilson et al. 2005). The observed targets circled in

¹⁹ See Table 1 in Goldsmith et al. (2000), based on FCRAO C^{18}O (1–0) data convolved to 4.4 SWAS FWHM beam.

²⁰ <https://home.strw.leidenuniv.nl/moldata/datafiles/c18o.dat>

²¹ No column density values were presented in Goldsmith et al. (2000), see Table E1 in Appendix E.

black dashed lines are in massive star formation regions. Symbols in red, green, and blue represent results based on observations via SWAS, and the Odin and Herschel telescopes, respectively. The symbols linked with solid black lines are O₂ detected cases or tentative detection, showing the ranges. Symbols linked by dotted black line represent results of different positions for the same object in the same study. The black arrows suggest the possible lower limit.

The beam-averaged O₂ column density upper limit ($\leq 3.3 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) we derived in this paper is lower than those values except one (Orion A, $1.9 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, Pagani et al. 2003) in massive star formation regions. The abundance upper limit ($\leq 6.7 \times 10^{-8}$) we derived is very close to the lowest value (G34.3+0.2, $\leq 5.2 \times 10^{-8}$) based on Odin observations for the 119 GHz O₂ line in Pagani et al. (2003) in a massive star formation region. Compared with our results based on the O₂ 487 GHz line, in cold and warm ($\leq 50 \text{ K}$ in Pagani et al. 2003) molecular gas, the larger population on the upper level (Goldsmith et al. 2011) and lower frequency of O₂ 119 GHz transition will together lead to lower column density and/or abundance upper limit in non-detection cases even when the rms noises are much higher.²²

The lowest O₂ abundance (upper limit) values ($1.3\text{--}2.1 \times 10^{-8}$ and $\leq 5.7 \times 10^{-9}$) are based on Herschel observations toward molecular clouds and envelop around NGC 1333 IRAS 4A (a low-mass Class 0 protostar) at 487 GHz, with line width and assumed line widths of 1.3 and 1 km s^{-1} respectively, and the lowest rms noise in all studies of $1.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ K}$ (Yildiz et al. 2013, assuming $T_{\text{kin}} = 30 \text{ K}$).

The O₂ column density upper limits we derived in this paper are lower than upper limit results (see Table E1 in Appendix E) in massive star formation regions the Orion Bar (Melnick et al. 2012) and Rho Oph A (Class 0 protostar IRAS 16293-2422, based on ¹⁶O¹⁸O line, Taquet et al. 2018).

The statistical average O₂ column density and abundance upper limit based on this sample of massive star formation regions under SWAS's beam size can be treated as a kind of average result on the Galactic scale. When converted to the corresponding 1σ value, our O₂ abundance upper limit is lower than those in other extragalactic sources (NGC 6240, Combes et al. 1991; in front of B0218+357, Combes et al. 1997; the center of Mrk 231, Wang et al. 2020.²³)

6. Conclusions

1. We obtained an overall averaged O₂ spectrum for a large sample of Galactic massive star formation regions based on the SWAS survey data. The rms noise per channel of

the overall averaged O₂ spectrum is $1.45 \times 10^{-3} \text{ K}$ for the overall integration time $t_{\text{total}} = 8.67 \times 10^3 \text{ hr}$. There is no O₂ emission or absorption around the supposed central velocity of the overall averaged O₂ spectrum.

2. At an assumed $T_{\text{kin}} = 30 \text{ K}$, the 3σ average O₂ column density and abundance upper limit for Galactic massive star formation regions based on thermalized O₂ 487 GHz line are $3.3 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and 6.7×10^{-8} , respectively. The column density upper limit result we derived in this paper is close to the lowest values in Galactic massive star formation regions in previous studies. The corresponding abundance upper limit is lower than previous results based on SWAS observations and is close to the lowest reported value in massive star formation regions.
3. On a galactic scale, our results confirm that O₂ abundance is very low for Galactic massive star formation regions. The O₂ abundance upper limit we derived in this paper is lower than previous results for extragalactic sources.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, Grant Nos. 11988101 and 12041302), the International Partnership Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (grant No. 114A11KYSB20210010) and National Key R&D Program of China No. 2023YFA1608004. D.L. is a New Cornerstone Investigator of the New Cornerstone Science Laboratory. This research utilized the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive, which is funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and operated by the California Institute of Technology. This research was carried out in part at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, which is operated by the California Institute of Technology under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (80NM0018D0004). J.W. thanks to the support of the Tianchi Talent Program of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region. G.A.F. gratefully acknowledges the Collaborative Research Center 1601 (SFB 1601 sub-project A2) funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft—500700252 and support from the University of Cologne and its Global Faculty program. We would like to thank Lei Qian, Zhiyuan Ren and the late Prof. Yu Gao for their help and the discussion. We would like to thank the anonymous referee and the scientific editor for the valuable advice for our paper and for helping us improve our work. We would like to thank all editorial staffs and the production editor for their help and efforts on preparing the proof and the version to publish for this paper.

Appendix A

C I and O₂ Central Velocity in Galactic O₂ Detection Cases

Observations for C I and O₂ emission toward the same position can be seen in the only two Galactic O₂ detection cases

²² Compared with the rms noise per channel of the overall averaged 487 GHz O₂ spectrum of the sample of the Galactic massive star formation regions in this paper, $1.45 \times 10^{-3} \text{ K}$ (based on the observations via SWAS), the rms noises of the 119 GHz O₂ spectra were 5-16 times the former value for the massive star formation sources Orion A, NGC 6334I and G34.3+0.2 observed by Odin in Pagani et al. (2003).

²³ All do not have column density upper limit, see Table E1 in Appendix E.

so far in previous studies, in Rho Oph A and the Orion molecular cloud, which were mentioned in the Introduction. The results do not go against our assumption that C I and O₂ lines along the same line of sight have the same central velocity.

In the Rho Oph A O₂ detection case, O₂'s 119 GHz transition (1₁–1₀) observed by Odin (Larsson et al. 2007), as well as its 487 GHz (3₃–1₂) and 774 GHz (5₄–3₄) transition observed by Herschel (Liseau et al. 2012) all show emission features with central velocities just around 3.5 km s^{−1}. SWAS's C I spectra in the corresponding area ((0, 0) and (0, 1'6) relative to R. A. = 16:26:23.4, decl. = −24:23:02 (J2000)) show roughly consistent central velocities (3.60 km s^{−1} and 3.44 km s^{−1}, respectively) with the former being O₂ observations but with different velocity and spatial resolution (beam size).

A similar situation applies to the O₂ detection case in the Orion molecular cloud. In the multi-line O₂ observation via Herschel HIFI toward the northwest maximum of H₂ rovibrational emission in Orion, the 487 GHz O₂ spectrum presents a velocity component whose peak is between 11 and 12 km s^{−1} (10.96 km s^{−1}, FWHM = 3.05 km s^{−1}).²⁴ This velocity is consistent with those of the transitions between higher energy levels, as 740 GHz (10.96 km s^{−1}, FWHM = 2.91 km s^{−1}) and 1121 GHz (11.87 km s^{−1}, FWHM = 2.87 km s^{−1}) (Goldsmith et al. 2011). In SWAS's beam that covers the same position, the C I 492.161 GHz spectra show a central velocity at 9.69 km s^{−1}. The difference between O₂ 487 GHz line and C I 492 GHz line central velocities is acceptable for our assumption.

Appendix B

Calculation of Column Density: Approximations and Assumptions

For a system in thermal equilibrium (TE), the radiative spectrum distribution at temperature T and wavelength ν is (Planck Equation)

$$B_\nu(T) = \frac{2h\nu^3}{c^2} \frac{1}{e^{\frac{h\nu}{kT}} - 1}. \quad (\text{B1})$$

In radio astronomy, in the Rayleigh–Jeans case of $h\nu \ll kT$, the effective source radiation temperature is described by the quasi-Planck function (Kutner & Ulich 1981) as

$$J(\nu, T) = \frac{h\nu}{k} \frac{1}{e^{\frac{h\nu}{kT}} - 1}, \quad (\text{B2})$$

here $J(\nu, T)$ is the radiation temperature of a radio source at (kinetic) temperature T .

For particles in a two-level system with spontaneous emission transition and collisional excitation/deexcitation, the Rayleigh–Jeans approximation can also apply to the line

brightness (temperature) term T_L . For an observed extended source that fills the main beam of the telescope, the main beam brightness temperature $T_{\text{mb}} \simeq T_L$.

When the particles are in TE and follow a Boltzmann's distribution, in the optically thin case $\tau \ll 1$, the column density of the upper level is

$$N_u^{\text{quasi-Planck}} = \frac{8\pi\nu^3}{c^3 A_{ul}} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{e^{\frac{h\nu}{kT_{\text{ex}}}} - 1}}{\frac{\frac{h\nu}{k}}{e^{\frac{h\nu}{kT_{\text{kin}}}} - 1} - \frac{\frac{h\nu}{k}}{e^{\frac{h\nu}{kT_{\text{bg}}}} - 1}} \int T_{\text{mb}} dv. \quad (\text{B3})$$

Here T_{ex} is the excitation temperature, $T_{\text{bg}} = 2.73$ K is the cosmic background temperature, and A_{ul} is the Einstein A coefficient of the radiative transition from the upper level to the lower level. The total column density is

$$N_{\text{tot}}^{\text{quasi-Planck}} = \text{CF} \cdot N_u^{\text{quasi-Planck}}. \quad (\text{B4})$$

CF is the correction factor.

When the upper level is thermalized, $T_{\text{ex}} \simeq T_{\text{kin}}$. If we replace all T_{ex} terms in Equation (B3) with T_{kin} , that will be the expression adopted for the upper level O₂ column density in calculation for O₂ total column density in Liseau et al. (2012) when calculating the O₂ column density.

In Equation (B3), when $T_{\text{ex}} \simeq T_{\text{kin}}$, the ratio of the cosmic microwave background radiation temperature term (noted as term A) to the O₂ radiation temperature term (notated as term B, B-A is the denominator of the second term on the right-hand side of Equation (B3), and the numerator above them is noted as term C) is

$$R_{\text{bg-to-ex}} = \frac{A}{B} = \frac{\frac{\frac{h\nu}{k}}{e^{\frac{h\nu}{kT_{\text{bg}}}} - 1}}{\frac{\frac{h\nu}{k}}{e^{\frac{h\nu}{kT_{\text{ex}}}} - 1}} = \frac{e^{\frac{h\nu}{kT_{\text{ex}}}} - 1}{e^{\frac{h\nu}{kT_{\text{bg}}}} - 1}. \quad (\text{B5})$$

For a given frequency ν , the ratio decreases monotonically as T_{ex} increase. For the O₂ 487 GHz line, at an assumed T_{kin} of 30 K ($T_{\text{ex}} \simeq T_{\text{kin}}$), term A is relatively negligible compared with term B, thus Equation (B3) can be reduced to

$$N_u^{\text{quasi-Planck-no-bg}} = \frac{8\pi\nu^3}{c^3 A_{ul}} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{e^{\frac{h\nu}{kT_{\text{ex}}}} - 1}}{\frac{\frac{h\nu}{k}}{e^{\frac{h\nu}{kT_{\text{ex}}}} - 1}} \int T_{\text{mb}} dv. \quad (\text{B6})$$

Equation (B6) is analytically equivalent to the expression in common use (Equation (B7)), which actually has term A ignored and the Rayleigh–Jeans approximation adopted for both term B and term C in Equation (B3), when $T_{\text{ex}} \simeq T_{\text{kin}}$

$$\begin{aligned} N_u^{\text{quasi-Planck-no-bg}} &= N_u^{R-J-\text{no-bg}} \\ &= \frac{8\pi k\nu^2}{hc^3 A_{ul}} \int T_{\text{mb}} dv. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B7})$$

²⁴ Another velocity component is primarily attributed to the Hot Core.

The total column density is

$$\begin{aligned} N_u^{\text{quasi-Planck-no-bg}} &= CF \cdot N_u^{R-J-\text{no-bg}} \\ &= CF \cdot \frac{8\pi k\nu^2}{hc^3 A_{ul}} \int T_{\text{mb}} dv. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B8})$$

Equation (B8) has been adopted in estimations of O_2 column density in earlier studies (Goldsmith et al. 2000, 2002, 2011). When $T_{\text{ex}} \simeq T_{\text{kin}}$, the difference between Equation (B4) (the Quasi-Planck expression) and Equation (B8) (The Rayleigh–Jeans expression) is actually caused by the cosmic microwave background radiation temperature term (term A). Although in our calculation in this paper, $h\nu \ll kT_{\text{kin}}$ does not apply to a frequency as high as 487 GHz for the O_2 (3,3–1,2) transition, considering the derivation above, we adopted Equation (B8) in the total column density calculation for both O_2 and C^{18}O .

For total column density calculation, Mangum & Shirley (2015) presented the analysis of the difference caused by the same reason. The results (the lower half of Figure 3 in that paper) show that for frequency of 487 GHz at $T_{\text{ex}} (\simeq T_{\text{kin}})$ for thermalized energy level) above 8 K, the difference between the derived total column density in these two cases (Equations (B4) and (B8)) is less than 1%. For $\text{C}^{18}\text{O } J=1-0$ at T_{ex} above 30 K, this difference is less than 5% (less than 10% above 20 K).

Appendix C

Corrections and Deviation for Parameters in Calculation for O_2 Column Density and Abundance Upper Limit

C.1. Correction for O_2 rms Noise Per Channel

The baseline noise performance of the overall averaged O_2 spectrum was checked as it was performed in Wang et al. (2024). The “clean” baseline velocity intervals without spikes or ripples in the average O_2 spectra of every observed position were aligned and added up. The rms noise per channel of “clean” interval of the overall added up spectrum is 1.13×10^{-3} K, lower than the theoretical value of 1.24×10^{-3} K (calculated according to Goldsmith et al. 2002 and Tolls et al. 2004) by a factor of 1.09.

A similar phenomenon has also been reported in Goldsmith et al. (2000) and Goldsmith et al. (2002). It may be caused by the larger-than-theoretical effective noise bandwidth and has been analyzed in Wang et al. (2024). Thus, we corrected the rms noise per channel of the overall averaged O_2 spectrum from 1.33×10^{-3} K to 1.45×10^{-3} K by multiplying the factor of 1.09.

C.2. Line Width of O_2 , C^{18}O and C I

Models for PDF regions suggest that CO and its isotopic variants are generally cospatial and cotemporal with O_2 in star-forming gases (Hollenbach et al. 2009; Draine 2011). The line width of $\text{C}^{18}\text{O } J=1-0$ was adopted as that of the supposed O_2 line width to estimate its column density upper limit in a

previous study (Pagani et al. 2003). C^{18}O has also been used to estimate O_2 abundance via $X_{\text{C}^{18}\text{O}}$ (based on $J=3-2$ or multi-transitions in Pagani et al. 2003; Larsson et al. 2007; Liseau et al. 2012; Yildiz et al. 2013; based on $J=1-0$ in Goldsmith et al. 2000, 2002; Pagani et al. 2003; Sandqvist et al. 2015 (with $N(\text{H}_2)$ via $\text{C}^{18}\text{O } J=1-0$ in Sandqvist et al. 2003)) in previous studies.

In the detected case of O_2 119 GHz emission in Rho Oph A in Larsson et al. (2007), the $\text{C}^{18}\text{O } J=3-2$ spectrum shows consistent central velocity and line width with those of the 119 GHz O_2 spectrum despite the very different beam sizes ($15''$ versus $9''$).

The $\text{C}^{18}\text{O } J=1-0$ line width can be the proxy of that of the corresponding O_2 spectrum. In this paper, we adopted the line width of the overall averaged C I spectrum as the assumed value for the corresponding $\text{C}^{18}\text{O } J=1-0$ and O_2 (3,3–1,2) spectrum.

C I is distributed more extensively than CO isotopic variants through different optical depth (Yamamoto 2017), therefore a larger line width than that of C^{18}O can be expected. In observation cases with different spatial resolutions, the C I spectrum shows larger line width than the C^{18}O low- J transitions.

In SWAS’s observations toward Rho Oph A, line width of C I ($^3\text{P}_1-^3\text{P}_0$) is 2.7 km s^{-1} while the C^{18}O (1–0) line toward the same position²⁵ has a line width of 1.5 km s^{-1} (Goldsmith et al. 2002). Also in this position, the 119 GHz O_2 (1_1-1_0) spectrum²⁶ has a line width of 1.5 km s^{-1} (Larsson et al. 2007). In the observation toward the molecular cloud core HH24–26 through the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope (JCMT) (Gibb & Little 1998), C I ($^3\text{P}_1-^3\text{P}_0$) spectra have line width larger than that of corresponding C^{18}O (2–1) spectra (with angular resolution $23''$ versus $10''$) over six different positions within the clump. The line width ratios vary from 1.0 to 2.3. Those observation cases suggest that the C I 492 GHz line is likely to have a larger line width than that of the C^{18}O (1–0) spectrum.

For the seven giant molecular cloud cores in the massive star formation region (see Section 4.2.1) whose parameters were adopted for estimation in this paper, we derived their C^{18}O (1–0) line width from Table 1 in Goldsmith et al. (2000) according to Equation (10) in this paper. The median and average of C^{18}O (1–0) line width are 5.6 and $6.5 \pm 4.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, respectively. At the same SWAS spatial resolution, the average C I spectra toward individual positions all have larger line width. The median and average line width of C I are 6.2 and $7.8 \pm 3.9 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, respectively. The average value of the C I and C^{18}O (1–0) line width ratio for each individual of the seven sources is 1.21 ± 0.25 . Based on this average value, we corrected the assumed C^{18}O (1–0) line width from that of the overall averaged C I spectrum (6.3 km s^{-1}) to 5.2 km s^{-1} . We therefore corrected the assumed line width for the overall

²⁵ Obtained through FCRAO and convolved to resolution of 4.4 FWHM.

²⁶ At Odin’s beamsize of $9'$ while covering the whole SWAS beam.

averaged O₂ spectrum to this value as that for C¹⁸O (1–0) as well in our estimation for O₂ column density upper limit.

C.3. O₂ Column Density and Abundance Upper Limit at Different T_{kin}

If the O₂ (3,3) level is thermalized, the O₂ column density upper limit is 1.26 times the value at 30 K for $T_{\text{kin}} = 15$ K, and 1.0–1.06 times the value at 30 K for $T_{\text{kin}} = 20$ –40 K. When the C¹⁸O $J = 1$ level is also thermalized, at $T_{\text{kin}} = 30$ K, $\text{CF}(\text{O}_2, 3_3)/\text{CF}(\text{C}^{18}\text{O},$

$J = 1) = 1.67$. For $T_{\text{kin}} = 15$ K to 40 K, $\text{CF}(\text{O}_2, 3_3)/\text{CF}(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}, J = 1) = 3.43$ –1.40. Thus, the O₂ abundance upper limit value can be 0.84–2.05 times the value at 30 K. The O₂ abundance upper limit can be as high as twice the value we derived for $T_{\text{kin}} = 30$ K.

Appendix D Massive Star Formation Regions Analyzed in this Paper

Table D1
Massive Star Formation Regions Analyzed in this Paper

Source	t_{total} (on+off, h)	R.A. Relative to (0,0) ($''$)	Decl. Relative to (0,0) ($''$)	R.A. (deg)	Decl. (deg)	l (deg)	b (deg)
CEPHA	88.06	0.00	1.37	344.07	62.03	109.87	2.11
CEPHA	45.75	0.46	192.92	344.07	62.08	109.89	2.16
CEPHA	33.67	−0.15	−192.71	344.07	61.98	109.85	2.07
CEPHA	56.32	192.86	0.56	344.13	62.03	109.89	2.10
CEPHA	48.18	−189.10	−2.21	344.02	62.03	109.85	2.12
CEPHA+15	35.44	−2.47	−3.06	344.07	62.03	109.87	2.11
CEPHA+15-NO	21.64	4.23	−193.87	344.08	61.98	109.85	2.07
CEPHA-30	7.71	1.39	193.08	344.07	62.08	109.89	2.16
CEPHA-30	7.50	0.72	−192.99	344.07	61.98	109.85	2.07
CEPHA-30	6.61	192.55	−1.33	344.13	62.03	109.89	2.10
CEPHA-30	7.68	−190.85	−0.85	344.02	62.03	109.85	2.12
CEPHB	98.18	−0.35	0.34	344.31	62.57	110.20	2.56
CEPHB	89.79	−0.20	384.30	344.31	62.68	110.25	2.65
CEPHB	105.27	195.60	190.65	344.37	62.62	110.25	2.59
CEPHB	93.99	2.68	191.24	344.31	62.63	110.23	2.60
CEPHB	111.88	−194.63	192.82	344.26	62.63	110.20	2.62
CEPHB	91.87	382.49	3.05	344.42	62.57	110.25	2.54
CEPHB	96.21	190.43	3.45	344.36	62.57	110.22	2.55
CEPHB	97.16	−192.26	0.05	344.26	62.57	110.18	2.57
CEPHB	96.32	−2.28	−194.03	344.31	62.52	110.18	2.51
G265.1+1.5	36.02	0.79	1.19	134.85	−43.77	265.15	1.43
G265.1+1.5	19.54	187.82	384.95	134.90	−43.66	265.09	1.53
G265.1+1.5	23.41	2.42	383.31	134.85	−43.66	265.07	1.50
G265.1+1.5	31.03	383.34	193.17	134.95	−43.72	265.16	1.53
G265.1+1.5	31.13	188.78	191.48	134.90	−43.72	265.13	1.50
G265.1+1.5	29.04	−0.79	193.11	134.85	−43.72	265.11	1.47
G265.1+1.5	30.92	−193.97	192.99	134.79	−43.72	265.08	1.44
G265.1+1.5	29.20	383.90	0.37	134.95	−43.77	265.20	1.49
G265.1+1.5	30.28	193.69	−0.99	134.90	−43.77	265.18	1.46
G265.1+1.5	31.24	−192.78	−0.12	134.79	−43.77	265.12	1.40
G265.1+1.5	19.57	385.17	−190.85	134.95	−43.82	265.24	1.46
G265.1+1.5	31.76	192.74	−192.05	134.90	−43.82	265.22	1.43
G265.1+1.5	32.32	1.19	−191.67	134.85	−43.82	265.19	1.40
G265.1+1.5	32.12	−192.11	−193.57	134.79	−43.82	265.16	1.37
G267.9-1.1	65.46	−1.71	2.01	134.80	−47.48	267.93	−1.03
G267.9-1.1	36.13	191.07	191.96	134.85	−47.43	267.92	−0.96
G267.9-1.1	53.92	−1.60	191.77	134.80	−47.43	267.89	−0.99
G267.9-1.1	25.73	−191.22	192.56	134.75	−47.43	267.87	−1.02
G267.9-1.1	37.61	192.26	2.55	134.85	−47.48	267.96	−1.00
G267.9-1.1	20.64	−192.74	1.35	134.75	−47.48	267.91	−1.05
G267.9-1.1	31.46	−383.62	0.32	134.69	−47.48	267.89	−1.08
G267.9-1.1	55.02	190.44	−189.67	134.85	−47.54	268.00	−1.03
G267.9-1.1	17.56	−1.11	−192.66	134.80	−47.54	267.97	−1.06
G267.9-1.1	20.84	−193.89	−193.10	134.75	−47.54	267.95	−1.09

Table D1
(Continued)

Source	t_{total} (on+off, h)	R.A. Relative to (0,0) ($''$)	Decl. Relative to (0,0) ($''$)	R.A. (deg)	Decl. (deg)	l (deg)	b (deg)
G267.9-1.1	28.08	-384.92	-193.07	134.69	-47.54	267.93	-1.12
G267.9-1.1	19.18	193.44	-378.92	134.85	-47.59	268.04	-1.07
G267.9-1.1	36.30	2.04	-381.88	134.80	-47.59	268.01	-1.10
G267.9-1.1	35.21	-194.37	-381.83	134.75	-47.59	267.99	-1.12
G291.3-0.7	33.39	381.21	387.34	168.01	-61.22	291.26	-0.62
G291.3-0.7	32.44	193.17	388.59	167.96	-61.22	291.24	-0.63
G291.3-0.7	33.22	575.76	197.89	168.07	-61.28	291.30	-0.66
G291.3-0.7	41.40	383.66	194.76	168.01	-61.28	291.28	-0.67
G291.3-0.7	38.18	192.86	194.82	167.96	-61.28	291.26	-0.68
G291.3-0.7	48.35	-0.13	196.46	167.91	-61.28	291.23	-0.69
G291.3-0.7	55.99	386.48	2.98	168.01	-61.33	291.30	-0.72
G291.3-0.7	20.05	192.83	4.28	167.96	-61.33	291.28	-0.73
G291.3-0.7	30.93	-190.09	3.09	167.85	-61.33	291.23	-0.75
G291.3-0.7	29.25	193.12	-189.23	167.96	-61.38	291.30	-0.78
G291.3-0.7	30.63	1.84	-189.17	167.91	-61.38	291.27	-0.79
G291.3-0.7	68.44	286.86	99.40	167.99	-61.30	291.28	-0.70
G301.1-0.2	67.18	-1.72	2.27	188.86	-63.04	301.12	-0.23
G301.1-0.2	24.19	97.67	3.69	188.89	-63.04	301.13	-0.22
G336.5-1.5	24.47	-3.25	0.54	250.00	-48.86	336.50	-1.47
G336.5-1.5	10.54	189.39	189.53	250.05	-48.80	336.56	-1.46
G336.5-1.5	11.48	-3.54	192.22	250.00	-48.80	336.54	-1.43
G336.5-1.5	10.23	-192.93	189.58	249.95	-48.80	336.51	-1.41
G336.5-1.5	10.25	192.17	-2.02	250.05	-48.86	336.52	-1.50
G336.5-1.5	10.47	-194.77	-2.01	249.95	-48.86	336.47	-1.44
G336.5-1.5	14.17	-384.85	0.48	249.89	-48.86	336.45	-1.42
G336.5-1.5	10.44	190.62	-193.72	250.05	-48.91	336.48	-1.53
G336.5-1.5	17.26	-0.14	-188.44	250.00	-48.91	336.46	-1.51
G336.5-1.5	10.25	-195.10	-193.51	249.95	-48.91	336.43	-1.48
G336.5-1.5	14.96	1.70	-381.99	250.00	-48.96	336.42	-1.54
MONR2	37.55	-4.53	4.51	91.92	-6.39	213.70	-12.63
MONR2	18.32	194.59	189.35	91.97	-6.34	213.67	-12.56
MONR2	18.42	-0.11	190.57	91.92	-6.34	213.65	-12.61
MONR2	13.55	384.37	-1.18	92.02	-6.39	213.75	-12.53
MONR2	13.88	192.27	-0.01	91.97	-6.39	213.72	-12.58
MONR2	15.66	-191.75	-2.12	91.86	-6.39	213.67	-12.68
MONR2	18.19	191.42	-193.48	91.97	-6.44	213.77	-12.61
MONR2	13.86	1.34	-189.71	91.92	-6.44	213.75	-12.65
MONR2	11.74	-193.44	-194.49	91.86	-6.45	213.72	-12.70
MONR2	35.10	97.47	45.63	91.94	-6.38	213.70	-12.60
N2264SC-S	22.72	-0.05	-1.76	100.29	9.48	203.32	2.05
N2264SC-S	19.55	386.71	2.83	100.40	9.49	203.37	2.15
N2264SC-S	44.68	192.45	2.40	100.35	9.49	203.35	2.10
N2264SC-S	27.92	-189.17	-0.50	100.24	9.49	203.30	2.01
N2264SC-S	17.46	191.83	-193.03	100.35	9.43	203.40	2.08
N2264SC-S	29.04	-4.39	-193.74	100.29	9.43	203.37	2.03
N2264SC-S	17.97	-192.31	-193.58	100.24	9.43	203.35	1.98
NGC 3576	39.58	2.77	6.39	167.98	-61.31	291.28	-0.71
NGC 3576	29.46	2.03	195.14	167.98	-61.25	291.26	-0.66
NGC 3576	22.32	387.40	1.47	168.08	-61.31	291.32	-0.69
NGC 3576	29.32	194.14	3.60	168.03	-61.31	291.30	-0.70
NGC 3576	32.69	-188.81	4.94	167.92	-61.31	291.25	-0.72
NGC 3576	23.93	195.27	-186.40	168.03	-61.36	291.32	-0.75
NGC 3576	29.67	0.32	-187.52	167.98	-61.36	291.29	-0.76
NGC 3576	18.14	-192.63	-187.87	167.92	-61.36	291.27	-0.77
NGC 6334A	26.57	0.44	-0.65	260.08	-35.91	351.25	0.66
NGC 6334A	10.61	188.46	-0.33	260.14	-35.91	351.27	0.63
NGC 6334A	14.13	-191.75	0.49	260.03	-35.91	351.22	0.70
NGC 6334A	12.26	-385.37	2.66	259.98	-35.91	351.20	0.73

Table D1
(Continued)

Source	t_{total} (on+off, h)	R.A. Relative to (0,0) ($''$)	Decl. Relative to (0,0) ($''$)	R.A. (deg)	Decl. (deg)	l (deg)	b (deg)
NGC 6334C	29.29	-0.18	-3.57	260.12	-35.87	351.30	0.67
NGC 6334C	10.76	-1.07	191.79	260.12	-35.82	351.34	0.70
NGC 6334C	13.07	-194.35	192.15	260.06	-35.82	351.32	0.73
NGC 6334C	11.99	382.82	0.96	260.22	-35.87	351.35	0.60
NGC 6334C	9.96	189.72	-0.91	260.17	-35.87	351.32	0.63
NGC 6334C	10.45	-197.02	-0.99	260.06	-35.87	351.27	0.70
NGC 6334C	48.62	-126.33	-165.74	260.08	-35.92	351.24	0.66
NGC 6334D	27.30	2.58	-0.87	260.18	-35.79	351.39	0.67
NGC 6334D	12.70	384.45	1.25	260.28	-35.79	351.44	0.60
NGC 6334D	10.32	190.89	1.62	260.23	-35.79	351.41	0.64
NGC 6334D	10.65	-191.96	0.60	260.12	-35.79	351.36	0.71
NGC 6334D	11.36	383.20	-190.09	260.28	-35.84	351.39	0.57
NGC 6334D	13.59	190.53	-190.21	260.23	-35.84	351.37	0.61
NGC 6334D	11.17	-2.05	-190.42	260.18	-35.84	351.35	0.64
NGC 6334I	238.71	-2.41	-3.34	260.22	-35.75	351.44	0.67
NGC 6334I	12.63	191.43	192.44	260.27	-35.70	351.51	0.66
NGC 6334I	10.48	-1.52	191.94	260.22	-35.70	351.49	0.70
NGC 6334I	13.44	191.43	0.80	260.27	-35.75	351.47	0.63
NGC 6334I	12.29	-194.02	0.81	260.17	-35.75	351.42	0.70
NGC 6334V	14.95	0.18	-1.35	259.99	-35.97	351.16	0.69
NGC 6334V	13.17	580.28	6.32	260.15	-35.97	351.24	0.59
NGC 6334V	12.45	384.22	1.68	260.10	-35.97	351.21	0.62
NGC 6334V	10.54	190.02	-1.26	260.05	-35.97	351.18	0.66
NGC 6334V	10.50	-192.60	1.88	259.94	-35.97	351.14	0.73
NGC 6334V	12.32	192.60	-188.39	260.05	-36.02	351.14	0.63
NGC 6334V	12.50	-2.58	-190.08	259.99	-36.02	351.12	0.66
S106	16.02	-1.31	1.10	306.89	37.38	76.39	-0.64
S106	33.80	-0.35	192.60	306.89	37.43	76.44	-0.61
S106	31.02	191.98	3.68	306.94	37.38	76.42	-0.67
S106	15.93	-95.33	1.48	306.86	37.38	76.38	-0.62
S106	15.38	-197.04	2.54	306.83	37.38	76.37	-0.60
S106	27.38	-384.39	3.68	306.78	37.38	76.35	-0.57
S106	35.32	0.44	-187.83	306.89	37.33	76.35	-0.67
S140	274.69	-0.25	-0.47	334.82	63.31	106.79	5.31
S140	21.36	193.27	191.56	334.87	63.37	106.84	5.34
S140	36.96	1.43	192.54	334.82	63.37	106.82	5.36
S140	25.88	-189.77	190.72	334.77	63.37	106.80	5.37
S140	20.89	194.55	-1.46	334.88	63.31	106.82	5.30
S140	56.25	-192.04	-0.84	334.77	63.31	106.77	5.33
S140	18.31	194.51	-192.84	334.88	63.26	106.79	5.25
S140	54.83	1.43	-194.31	334.82	63.26	106.77	5.27
S140	67.40	-189.28	-193.36	334.77	63.26	106.75	5.28
W3	34.87	-3.18	3.85	36.37	62.10	133.69	1.21
W3	22.49	189.82	193.99	36.43	62.15	133.70	1.27
W3	21.27	-3.75	193.83	36.37	62.15	133.68	1.26
W3	19.48	381.79	0.71	36.48	62.10	133.74	1.23
W3	27.87	-190.96	3.12	36.32	62.10	133.67	1.20
W3	9.39	187.52	-187.93	36.43	62.05	133.74	1.17
W3	27.69	0.75	-188.85	36.37	62.05	133.71	1.16
G10.47+0.03	17.04	-1.76	0.90	272.15	-19.87	10.47	0.03
G10.47+45	30.27	3.10	-0.69	272.15	-19.87	10.47	0.03
G10.6-0.4	61.48	-3.72	1.29	272.62	-19.93	10.62	-0.38
G10.6-0.4-NO1	12.76	0.41	4.24	272.62	-19.93	10.62	-0.38
G12.21-0.01	22.14	0.73	0.04	273.17	-18.41	12.21	-0.10
G23.95+0.15	38.64	-0.44	1.11	278.61	-7.91	23.96	0.14
G268.4-0.9	132.84	0.59	1.84	135.48	-47.73	268.42	-0.85
G269.2-1.1	24.60	-0.25	4.66	135.89	-48.47	269.15	-1.12
G269.2-1.1+30	139.94	0.87	-0.54	135.89	-48.47	269.15	-1.13

Table D1
(Continued)

Source	t_{total} (on+off, h)	R.A. Relative to (0,0) ($''$)	Decl. Relative to (0,0) ($''$)	R.A. (deg)	Decl. (deg)	l (deg)	b (deg)
G31.41+0.31	27.62	1.10	3.57	281.89	-1.21	31.41	0.31
G327.3-0.5	22.35	0.48	5.71	238.29	-54.62	327.30	-0.58
G333.1-0.4	77.00	3.56	-0.05	245.26	-50.59	333.13	-0.43
G34.3+0.1	86.64	0.66	-1.06	283.33	1.25	34.26	0.15
G34.3+0.1+15	41.01	-0.66	1.80	283.33	1.25	34.26	0.15
G48.61+0.02	8.66	1.71	2.27	290.13	13.92	48.61	0.02
G59.78+0.06	12.96	-0.60	5.45	295.80	23.73	59.78	0.06
G60.89-0.13	3.48	-2.10	1.15	296.58	24.59	60.88	-0.13
14498-5856-60	62.56	-3.69	2.43	223.42	-59.15	318.05	0.09
14498-5856	36.90	-2.14	1.65	223.42	-59.15	318.05	0.09
AFGL961	2.90	-4.79	-4.20	98.66	4.19	207.28	-1.82
CEPHEUS-E	63.63	-0.83	0.78	345.80	61.71	110.48	1.48
CEPHEUS-E-30	39.21	-2.60	0.25	345.80	61.71	110.48	1.48
GL490	135.17	0.36	-2.29	51.91	58.78	142.00	1.82
GL490-60	43.82	0.39	3.60	51.91	58.78	142.00	1.82
IR20126-30	33.50	1.74	-2.95	303.61	41.22	78.12	3.63
IRAS08576-43	45.18	0.67	0.60	134.86	-43.76	265.15	1.44
IRAS20126	78.91	1.40	0.48	303.61	41.23	78.12	3.63
IRAS22566	40.76	-1.65	2.51	344.70	58.76	108.76	-0.98
IRAS22566-30	42.61	-0.80	0.77	344.70	58.75	108.76	-0.98
K3-50	49.48	1.56	3.19	300.44	33.55	70.29	1.60
M8E	35.17	3.30	0.05	271.23	-24.44	6.05	-1.45
NGC 7538IRS1	37.62	1.21	-4.27	348.44	61.47	111.54	0.78
NGC 7538IRS9	101.03	6.40	1.80	348.51	61.46	111.57	0.75
ON2S	81.15	-2.18	3.41	305.42	37.41	75.75	0.34
RAFGL7009S	72.68	3.39	0.46	278.59	-5.99	25.65	1.05
RCW34	88.53	2.21	3.67	134.13	-43.08	264.29	1.49
RCW34-NO1	97.75	0.32	-0.04	134.13	-43.08	264.29	1.48
RCW34-NO1-30	38.77	-1.81	1.88	134.13	-43.08	264.29	1.48
S152	29.20	-1.48	3.38	344.68	58.76	108.76	-0.97
S252A	19.72	-4.24	-2.53	92.15	20.65	189.78	0.35
S255	31.47	-1.57	-0.75	93.22	17.99	192.60	-0.05
S87	52.31	1.60	-0.11	296.59	24.60	60.90	-0.13
W3IRS5	21.46	1.36	-1.85	36.42	62.10	133.72	1.21
W3-OH	50.18	-2.56	1.92	36.76	61.87	133.95	1.06
W51+30	5.85	0.00	1.74	290.93	14.51	49.49	-0.38
W51	238.03	1.81	-3.66	290.93	14.51	49.49	-0.38
G291.3-0.7	123.58	-0.45	0.37	167.91	-61.33	291.25	-0.74
NGC 7538	87.07	1.42	-1.83	348.45	61.45	111.54	0.75
W49	740.84	-1.19	3.39	287.56	9.11	43.17	0.01
W49-NO1	34.91	-0.22	-0.82	287.56	9.11	43.17	0.01
G274.1-1.14	6.74	-2.37	-1.10	141.11	-51.99	274.00	-1.14
M17SW	217.88	-0.42	2.47	275.09	-16.21	15.01	-0.68

Appendix E

Molecular Oxygen Column and Abundance Upper Limit in Literature

Table E1
O₂ Column and Abundance Upper Limit In Literature

Source	N_{O_2} Upper Limit	X_{O_2} Upper Limit	Temperature	Note	Telescope	References
			In Galaxy			
NGC 2024	...	$<6.1 \times 10^{-7}$	35 K	3σ	SWAS	derived from Goldsmith et al. (2000)
NGC 2071	...	$<1.5 \times 10^{-6}$	20 K	3σ	SWAS	derived from Goldsmith et al. (2000)
NGC 2071	$<2.6 \times 10^{15}$	$<1.5 \times 10^{-7}$	20 K	3σ	Odin	Pagani et al. (2003)
Mon R2	...	$<1.3 \times 10^{-6}$	40 K	3σ	SWAS	derived from Goldsmith et al. (2000)
NGC 2264	...	$<5.6 \times 10^{-7}$	30 K	3σ	SWAS	derived from Goldsmith et al. (2000)
Sgr B2	...	$<4.6 \times 10^{-7}$	30 K	3σ	SWAS	derived from Goldsmith et al. (2000)
W33	...	$<5.4 \times 10^{-7}$	23 K	3σ	SWAS	derived from Goldsmith et al. (2000)
W49	...	$<1.9 \times 10^{-6}$	25 K	3σ	SWAS	derived from Goldsmith et al. (2000)
W51	...	$<3.6 \times 10^{-7}$	30 K	3σ	SWAS	derived from Goldsmith et al. (2000)
S140	...	$<1.0 \times 10^{-6}$	30 K	3σ	SWAS	derived from Goldsmith et al. (2000)
DR 21	...	$<1.5 \times 10^{-6}$	30 K	3σ	SWAS	derived from Goldsmith et al. (2000)
DR 21(OH)	...	$<1.4 \times 10^{-6}$	30 K	3σ	SWAS	derived from Goldsmith et al. (2000)
Cep A	...	$<9.4 \times 10^{-7}$	30 K	3σ	SWAS	derived from Goldsmith et al. (2000)
NGC 7538	...	$<9.7 \times 10^{-7}$	25 K	3σ	SWAS	derived from Goldsmith et al. (2000)
M17SW	...	$<3.7 \times 10^{-7}$	40 K	3σ	SWAS	derived from Goldsmith et al. (2000)
M17SW	$<7.3 \times 10^{15}$	$<5.7 \times 10^{-7}$	50 K	3σ	Odin	Pagani et al. (2003)
TMC-1	...	$<2.7 \times 10^{-6}$	10 K	3σ	SWAS	derived from Goldsmith et al. (2000)
TMC1-NH3	$<6.8 \times 10^{14}$	$<7.7 \times 10^{-8}$	10 K	3σ	Odin	Pagani et al. (2003)
L134N	...	$<2.9 \times 10^{-6}$	10 K	3σ	SWAS	derived from Goldsmith et al. (2000)
L134N-NH3	$<1.1 \times 10^{15}$	$<1.7 \times 10^{-7}$	10 K	3σ	Odin	Pagani et al. (2003)
NGC 6334I	$<5.0 \times 10^{15}$	$<7.1 \times 10^{-8}$	50 K	3σ	Odin	Pagani et al. (2003)
G0.26-0.01	$<5.6 \times 10^{16}$	$<7.6 \times 10^{-7}$	20? K	3σ	Odin	Pagani et al. (2003)
S68FIRS1	$<1.6 \times 10^{15}$	$<9.7 \times 10^{-8}$	25 K	3σ	Odin	Pagani et al. (2003)
G34.3+0.2	$<5.2 \times 10^{15}$	$<5.2 \times 10^{-8}$	30 K	3σ	Odin	Pagani et al. (2003)
NGC 1333	...	$<1.0 \times 10^{-6}$	25 K	3σ	SWAS	derived from Goldsmith et al. (2000)
NGC 1333	$<1.2 \times 10^{15}$	$<5.7 \times 10^{-9}$	30 K	3σ	Herschel	Yildiz et al. (2013)
IRAS 4 Protostar						
NGC 1333	$(2.8-4.3) \times 10^{15}$	$(1.3-2.1) \times 10^{-8}$	30 K	tentative detection, 4.5σ		Yildiz et al. (2013)
IRAS 4 cloud					Herschel	
IRAS16293-2422	$<1.7 \times 10^{15}$	$<1.2 \times 10^{-7}$	30 K	3σ	Odin	Pagani et al. (2003)
IRAS16293-2422	$<9 \times 10^{19}$	...	$T_{\text{ex}} = 125-200$ K	3σ	ALMA	Taquet et al. (2018)
Rho Oph A	...	$<2.6 \times 10^{-7}$	30 K	3σ	SWAS	derived from Goldsmith et al. (2000)
Rho Oph A	2.3×10^{16}	$<1.0-1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	40 K	tentative detection	SWAS	Goldsmith et al. (2002)
Rho Oph A	$<3.4 \times 10^{15}$	$<9.3 \times 10^{-8}$	30 K	3σ	Odin	Pagani et al. (2003)

Table E1
(Continued)

Source	N_{O_2} Upper Limit	X_{O_2} Upper Limit	Temperature	Note	Telescope	References
Rho Oph A	1×10^{15}	5×10^{-8}	30 K	5.6 σ , detection	Odin	Larsson et al. (2007)
Rho Oph A	$(3-6) \times 10^{15}$	$\sim 5 \times 10^{-8}$, (or more higher in colder region)	>50 K (or <30 K)	3σ	Herschel	Liseau et al. (2012)
Orion H ₂ Peak 1 (Orion NH ₃ Peak A)	6.5×10^{16} (4.6×10^{18})	$(0.3-7.3) \times 10^{-6}$ (1.3×10^{-6})	65-120 K (≥ 180 K)	detection	Herschel	Goldsmith et al. (2011)
Orion bar	$<1 \times 10^{16}$ ($\leq 4 \times 10^{15}$)	$\dots$	≤ 100 K (~ 30 K)	3σ detection	Herschel	Melnick et al. (2012)
Orion H ₂ Peak 1 (Hot Core)	1.1×10^{18} ($1.2-1.9) \times 10^{17}$	$\dots$ ($0.9-1.5) \times 10^{-7}$	≤ 100 K (150–300 K)	3σ (1 σ abundance)	Herschel	Chen et al. (2014)
L429	$<1.1 \times 10^{16}$	$<9.2 \times 10^{-8}$ ($<1.2 \times 10^{-6}$)	7 K	3σ (assuming beam dilution factor)	Herschel	Wiström et al. (2016)
Oph D	$<1.2 \times 10^{16}$	$<1.1 \times 10^{-7}$ ($<1.3 \times 10^{-6}$)	7 K	3σ (assuming beam dilution factor)	Herschel	Wiström et al. (2016)
L1544	$<8.2 \times 10^{15}$	$<6.3 \times 10^{-8}$ ($<1.6 \times 10^{-6}$)	7 K	3σ (assuming beam dilution factor)	Herschel	Wiström et al. (2016)
L694-2	$<1.8 \times 10^{16}$	$<1.6 \times 10^{-7}$ ($<1.3 \times 10^{-6}$)	7 K	3σ (assuming beam dilution factor)	Herschel	Wiström et al. (2016)
Sgr A +20–50 km s ^{−1}	$\leq 6 \times 10^{16}$	$\leq 1.2 \times 10^{-7}$		3σ	Herschel	Sandqvist et al. (2008)
Sgr A (Scutum Arm)	$<1.4 \times 10^{16}$	$<5 \times 10^{-8}$ ($<10^{-6}-10^{-4}$)	80 K (30 K)	3σ 3σ	Herschel	Sandqvist et al. (2015)
Sgr A (−30 km s ^{−1} Arm)		($<10^{-5}-10^{-4}$)	(30 K)	3σ		
SMC	$<2 \times 10^{15}$	$<1.3 \times 10^{-6}$	Extragalactic	3σ	Odin	Wilson et al. (2005)
NGC 6240	$<1.5 \times 10^{16}$	$<1 \times 10^{-6}$	15 K	1σ	IRAM 30 m	Combes et al. (1991)
B0218+357 (in front of)	$<8.9 \times 10^{16}$	$<2 \times 10^{-3}$		1σ (O ₂ /CO)	Nobeyama	Combes et al. (1997)
Mrk 231 (2 kpc in center)		$<8 \times 10^{-8}$		1σ	IRAM 30 m	Wang et al. (2020)
Mrk 231 (outflow)		$>1 \times 10^{-4}$	$T_{\text{ex}} =$ 15 K or higher	detection		

ORCID iDs

Bing-Ru Wang  <https://orcid.org/0009-0001-8411-5096>
 Di Li  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3010-7661>
 Paul F. Goldsmith  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6622-8396>
 Jingwen Wu  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7808-3756>
 Chao-Wei Tsai  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9390-9672>
 Donghui Quan  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4811-2581>
 Xia Zhang  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4843-8944>
 Junzhi Wang  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6106-1171>
 Gary J. Melnick  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6025-0680>
 Jin-Zeng Li  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9331-8194>
 Gary A. Fuller  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8509-1818>
 Jinjin Xie  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2738-146X>

References

Bergin, E. A., & Melnick, G. J. 2005, in *Astrochemistry: Recent Successes and Current Challenges*, Proc. of the 231st Symp. of the Int. Astronomical Union, ed. D. C. Lis, G. A. Blake, & E. Herbst (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), 309

Brown, J., & Carrington, A. 2003, *Rotational Spectroscopy of Diatomic Molecules* (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
 Chen, J.-H., Goldsmith, P. F., Serena, V., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **793**, 111
 Combes, F., Casoli, F., Encarnaz, P., et al. 1991, *A&A*, **248**, 607
 Combes, F., Wiklind, T., & Nakai, N. 1997, *A&A*, **327**, L17
 Dalgarno, A., & McCray, R. A. 1972, *ARA&A*, **10**, 375
 Draine, B. T. 2011, *Physics of the Interstellar and Intergalactic Medium* (Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press)
 Drouin, B. J., Yu, S., Miller, C. E., et al. 2010, *JQSRT*, **111**, 1167
 Frerking, M. A., Langer, W. D., & Wilson, R. W. 1982, *ApJ*, **262**, 590
 Frisk, U., Hagström, M., Ala-Laurinaho, J., et al. 2003, *A&A*, **402**, L27
 Gibb, A. G., & Little, L. T. 1998, *MNRAS*, **295**, 299
 Goldsmith, P. F., & Langer, W. D. 1978, *ApJ*, **222**, 881
 Goldsmith, P. F., Li, D., Bergin, E. A., et al. 2002, *ApJ*, **576**, 814
 Goldsmith, P. F., Liseau, R., Bell, T. A., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, **737**, 96
 Goldsmith, P. F., Melnick, G. J., Bergin, E. A., et al. 2000, *ApJL*, **539**, L123
 Goldsmith, P. F., Snell, R. L., Erickson, N. R., et al. 1985, *ApJ*, **289**, 613
 Goldsmith, P. F., & Young, J. S. 1989, *ApJ*, **341**, 718
 Gordy, W., & Cook, R. L. 1984, *Microwave Molecular Spectra* (Techniques of Chemistry) XVIII(3rd; New York: Wiley)
 Heiles, C. 1971, *ARA&A*, **9**, 293
 Herbst, E., & Klemperer, W. 1973, *ApJ*, **185**, 505
 Hollenbach, D., Kaufman, M. D., Bergin, E. A., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **690**, 1497
 Kaiser, R. I., Eich, G., Gabrysch, A., et al. 1999, *A&A*, **346**, 340
 Kutner, M. L., & Ulich, B. L. 1981, *ApJ*, **250**, 341

- Langer, W. 1976, [ApJ](#), **206**, 699
- Larsson, B., Liseau, R., Pagani, L., et al. 2007, [A&A](#), **466**, 999
- Liseau, R., Goldsmith, P. F., Larsson, B., et al. 2012, [A&A](#), **541**, A73
- Listz, H. S., & Vanden Bout, P. A. 1985, [ApJ](#), **291**, 178
- Mangum, J. G., & Shirley, Y. L. 2015, [PASP](#), **127**, 266
- Maréchal, P., Viala, Y. P., & Benayoun, J. J. 1997, [A&A](#), **324**, 221
- Melnick, G. J. 1995, in Airborne Astronomy Symp. on the Galactic Ecosystem: From Gas to Stars to Dust, Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conf. Series, ed. M. R. Haas, J. A. Davidson, & E. F. Erickson (San Francisco: ASP), 673
- Melnick, G. J., & Kaufman, M. J. 2015, [ApJ](#), **806**, 227
- Melnick, G. J., Stauffer, J. R., Ashby, M. L. N., et al. 2000, [ApJL](#), **539**, L77
- Melnick, G. J., Tolls, V., Goldsmith, P. F., et al. 2012, [ApJ](#), **752**, 26
- Neufeld, D. A., Lepp, S., & Melnick, G. J. 1995, [ApJS](#), **100**, 132
- Nordh, H. L., von Schéele, F., Frisk, U., et al. 2003, [A&A](#), **402**, L21
- Pagani, L., Olofsson, A. O. H., Bergman, P., et al. 2003, [A&A](#), **402**, L77
- Pilbratt, G. L., Riedinger, J. R., Passvogel, T., et al. 2010, [A&A](#), **518**, L1
- Sandqvist, A., Bergman, P., Black, J. H., et al. 2003, [A&A](#), **402**, L63
- Sandqvist, A., Larsson, B., Hjalmarson, Å., et al. 2008, [A&A](#), **482**, 849
- Sandqvist, A., Larsson, B., Hjalmarson, Å., et al. 2015, [A&A](#), **584**, A118
- Taquet, V., Van Dishoeck, E. F., Swayne, M., et al. 2018, [A&A](#), **618**, A11
- Tielens, A. G. G. M. 2013, [RvMP](#), **85**, 1021
- Tolls, V., Melnick, G. J., Ashby, M. L. N., et al. 2004, [ApJS](#), **152**, 137
- Van der Tak, F. F. S., Black, J. H., Schöier, F. L., Jansen, D. J., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2007, [A&A](#), **468**, 627
- van Dishoeck, E. F., Herbst, E., & Neufeld, D. A. 2013, [ChRv](#), **113**, 9043
- Vastel, C., Polehampton, E. T., Baluteau, J.-P., et al. 2002, [ApJ](#), **581**, 315
- Wang, B.-R., Li, D., Goldsmith, P. F., et al. 2024, [RAA](#), **24**, 065026, #
- Wang, J., Li, D., Goldsmith, P. F., et al. 2020, [ApJ](#), **889**, 129
- Wang, S., Li, A., & Jiang, B. W. 2015, [MNRAS](#), **454**, 569
- Whittet, D. C. B. 2010a, [LPICo](#), **1538**, 5194
- Whittet, D. C. B. 2010b, [ApJ](#), **710**, 1009
- Whittet, D. C. B., Goldsmith, P. F., & Pineda, J. L. 2010, [ApJ](#), **720**, 259
- Wilson, C. D., Olofsson, A. O. H., Pagani, L., et al. 2005, [A&A](#), **433**, L5
- Wiström, E. S., Charnley, S. B., Cordiner, M. A., et al. 2016, [ApJ](#), **830**, 102
- Yamamoto, S. 2017, Introduction to Astrochemistry: Chemical Evolution from Interstellar Clouds to Star and Planet Formation (Tokyo: Springer)
- Yildiz, U. A., Acharyya, K., Goldsmith, P. F., et al. 2013, [A&A](#), **558**, A58
- Zhang, X., Quan, D., & Esimbek, J. 2020, [ChJCP](#), **33**, 107